🖎 AO 472 (Rev. 12/03) Order of Detention Pending Trial

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
Eastern	District of	Michigan
UNITED STATES OF AMERIC	CA	
V.	ORDEF	OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
ERIC CORNELL SUTTLES	Case Number	or: 09-20166
Defendant In accordance with the Bail Reform Act. 18	IIS C & 21/2/ft a detention hearing has	been held. I conclude that the following facts require the
detention of the defendant pending trial in this ca		been neid. I conclude that the following facts require the
	Part I—Findings of Fact	
or local offense that would have been a a crime of violence as defined in 1	a federal offense if a circumstance giving	d has been convicted of a federal offense state rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is
	erm of imprisonment of ten years or more	is prescribed in
	d- 1-61-1-1-1	*
§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable (2) The offense described in finding (1) wa (3) A period of not more than five years ha for the offense described in finding (1). (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish	e state or local offenses. as committed while the defendant was on as elapsed since the date of conviction as a rebuttable presumption that no condition	release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. on release of the defendant from imprisonment n or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
safety of (an) other person(s) and the co	ommunity. I further find that the defendate Alternative Findings (A)	nt has not reputted this presumption.
(1) There is probable cause to believe that	the defendant has committed an offense	T''. 04
for which a maximum term of imp	risonment of ten years or more is prescrib	ed in
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the press the appearance of the defendant as requ	uired and the safety of the community.	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assur
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendan	Alternative Findings (B) nt will not appear.	
	nt will endanger the safety of another pers	son or the community.
I find that the credible testimony and inform derance of the evidence that there are no conditions or combination of co	onditions that will reasonably assure t	_
to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting reasonable opportunity for private consultation	g or serving sentences or being held in cu with defense counsel. On order of a cou	resentative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, istody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a rt of the United States or on request of an attorney for the the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance
Date		Signature of Judge
= 100	Michael Hluchaniuk	U.S. Magistrate Judge
Name and Title of Judge		me and Title of Judge

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

United States v. Eric Cornell Suttles Case No. 09-20166

STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

Defendant is before the Court charged with three violations of the federal criminal laws in an indictment returned on April 15, 2009. He is charged in the indictment with possessing heroin with the intent to distribute, possessing marijuana with the intent to distribute, and with being a felon in possession of firearms. All three offenses are alleged to have taken place on November 26, 2008, and apparently arise from the execution of a search warrant at defendant's home.

Defendant first appeared before the Court on these charges on April 15, 2009. An arraignment was completed at that time and counsel was appointed. The government made a motion for detention during the hearing and requested time to prepare for the hearing. The hearing was held on April 17, 2009. During the hearing the government presented information to the Court including: 1) that defendant stands convicted of three prior felony drug offenses; 2) that the government intends to file an information pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 851 which, when filed, would enhance the potential penalty defendant would face if convicted of the offenses in the indictment; 3) that, while on probation for one of his prior

felony drug offenses, defendant absconded; 4) that the present offenses were committed while defendant was on bond for one of his prior felony drug convictions; 5) that defendant, on the date of the search warrant, was in possession of a loaded assault rifle (in addition to three other firearms), which had attached to it a 100 round capacity ammunition magazine; and 6) the presumption in favor of detention applied to these circumstances.

It is determined that defendant has not rebutted the presumption in favor of detention and, if it had been rebutted, the government has established, by a preponderance of the evidence, that: a) defendant is a flight risk, and b) based on defendant's prior record, the penalty faced with the present charges and the prior incident of absconding. The government has established by clear and convincing evidence that defendant is a danger to the community based on his continued drug trafficking and his possession of firearms including an assault rifle with a 100 round capacity ammunition magazine. Based on these findings, the government's motion for detention is granted.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on April 20, 2009, I electronically filed the foregoing paper with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF system, which will send electronic notification to the following: Nancy A. Abraham, AUSA, and Kenneth R. Sasse, Federal Defenders Office, and I certify that I have mailed by United States Postal Service/hand-delivered the paper to the following non-ECF participants: Pretrial Services Agency and the United States Marshal's Service.

s/James P. Peltier
Courtroom Deputy Clerk
U.S. District Court
600 Church Street
Flint, MI 48502
(810) 341-7850
pete peltier@mied.uscourts.gov